



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 4, 2012

Ms. Talibah P. Young
Assistant General Counsel
University of Houston System
311 East Cullen Building
Houston, Texas 77204-2028

OR2012-15797

Dear Ms. Young:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 467484.

The University of Houston System (the "university") received a request for six categories of information pertaining to alternative asset investments managed by the university for a specified time period. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Anchorage Capital Group, L.L.C.; Asian Century Quest Offshore Fund, Ltd.; Berwind Property Group; Coatue Management, L.L.C.; Commonfund Capital, Inc.; Covalent Capital Partners GP, L.L.C.; Davidson Kempner Capital Management L.L.C.; Denham Capital Management; EnCap Investments, L.P.; Fisher Lynch Capital; HarbourVest Partners; HBK Capital; Highline Capital; J.H. Whitney Capital Partners, L.L.C. ("Whitney"); Mason Capital Management, L.L.C.; Maverick Capital; Newlin Capital Partners, L.L.C.; Och Ziff Capital Management; Partner Fund Management, L.P. ("PFM"); Samlyn Capital, L.L.C.; Scout Capital Management, L.L.C.; Sustainable Woodlands Fund, L.P.; Taconic Capital Advisors, L.P.; Three Bridges Europe Fund, Ltd.; TrueBridge GP Partners II, L.P.; Whippoorwill Associates, Inc.; and York Institutional Partners. Accordingly, you submit documentation showing the university notified these third parties of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Whitney and PFM. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, we only have received comments from Whitney and PFM. Thus, we find none of the remaining third parties have demonstrated that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of their submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of the remaining third parties' information on the basis of any proprietary interest they may have in their information.

We next note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.0225 of the Government Code. Section 552.0225(b) provides as follows:

The following categories of information held by a governmental body relating to its investments are public information and not excepted from disclosure under [the Act]:

- (1) the name of any fund or investment entity the governmental body is or has invested in;
- (2) the date that a fund or investment entity described by Subdivision (1) was established;
- (3) each date the governmental body invested in a fund or investment entity described by Subdivision (1);
- (4) the amount of money, expressed in dollars, the governmental body has committed to a fund or investment entity;
- (5) the amount of money, expressed in dollars, the governmental body is investing or has invested in any fund or investment entity;
- (6) the total amount of money, expressed in dollars, the governmental body received from any fund or investment entity in connection with an investment;
- (7) the internal rate of return or other standard used by a governmental body in connection with each fund or investment entity it is or has invested in and the date on which the return or other standard was calculated;

(8) the remaining value of any fund or investment entity the governmental body is or has invested in;

(9) the total amount of fees, including expenses, charges, and other compensation, assessed against the governmental body by, or paid by the governmental body to, any fund or investment entity or principal of any fund or investment entity in which the governmental body is or has invested;

(10) the names of the principals responsible for managing any fund or investment entity in which the governmental body is or has invested;

(11) each recusal filed by a member of the governing board in connection with a deliberation or action of the governmental body relating to an investment;

(12) a description of all of the types of businesses a governmental body is or has invested in through a fund or investment entity;

(13) the minutes and audio or video recordings of each open portion of a meeting of the governmental body at which an item described by this subsection was discussed;

(14) the governmental body's percentage ownership interest in a fund or investment entity the governmental body is or has invested in;

(15) any annual ethics disclosure report submitted to the governmental body by a fund or investment entity the governmental body is or has invested in; and

(16) the cash-on-cash return realized by the governmental body for a fund or investment entity the governmental body is or has invested in.

Gov't Code § 552.0225(b). Whitney and PFM raise section 552.110 of the Government Code for their submitted information. We note, however, the exceptions to disclosure found in the Act, including section 552.110, do not apply to information that is made public by section 552.0225. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989). Accordingly, the types of information enumerated in section 552.0225(b) of the Government Code must be released to the requestor.

Whitney and PFM claim their remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. This section protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision," and (2)

“commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.” Gov’t Code § 552.110(a)-(b).

Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a “trade secret” from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a “trade secret” to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to a single or ephemeral event in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958). This office will accept a private person’s claim for exception as valid under section 552.110(a) if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception, and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim.¹ Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5-6 (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

Whitney and PFM assert their remaining information is protected by section 552.110(a). Upon review, we find neither Whitney nor PFM have demonstrated how any of their information meets the definition of a trade secret. *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939) (trade secret “is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business”); ORDs 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Consequently, the university may not withhold any of Whitney’s or PFM’s information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Whitney and PFM also claim their remaining information is protected by section 552.110(b). Upon review, we find Whitney and PFM have made only conclusory allegations that release of their remaining information would result in substantial harm to their competitive positions and have provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support such allegations. *See* ORD 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue); *see also* ORD 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Therefore, the university may not withhold any of Whitney’s or PFM’s remaining information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. As no further exceptions to disclosure are raised, the university must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sean Nottingham
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SN/bhf

Ref: ID# 467484

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Brita Gaffey
Anchorage Capital Group
610 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10012
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Yadey Yawand-Wossen
Asian Century Quest Offshore Fund
152 West 57th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10019
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. McKinley Wier
HBK Capital
Suite 700
2101 Cedar Springs Road
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. William Stone
Covalent Capital Partners GP
Reservoir Woods
930 Winter Street, Suite 2800
Waltham, Massachusetts 02451
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bobby Haier
EnCap Investments
1100 Louisiana Street, Suite 4900
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Chase
Davidson Kempner Capital Management
65 East 55th Street, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David Scully
Coatue Management
9 West 57th Street, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10019
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Tim Smith
Denham Capital Management
200 Clarendon Street, 25th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brett Fisher
Fisher Lynch Capital
2929 Campus Drive, Suite 420
San Mateo, California 94403
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karin J. Lugerlund
HarbourVest Partners
One Financial Center, 44th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02111
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Linda Costa
Commonfund Capital Inc.
15 Old Danbury Road
Wilton, Connecticut 06897
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kristin Meringer
Highline Capital
30th Floor
One Rockefeller Center
New York, New York 10020
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Janet Joyce
York Institutional Partners
767 5th Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, New York 10153
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John C. Grizzetti
Mason Capital Management
110 East 59th Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael Weiss
Scout Capital Management
640 Fifth Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Corrie Mattorano
Maverick Capital
300 Crescent Court, 18th Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert Honstein
Newlin Capital Partners
503 East Washington Avenue, Suite 2-D
Newtown, Pennsylvania 18940
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Nathan Urquhart
Och Ziff Capital Management
9 West 5th Street, 39th Street
New York, New York 10019
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Joe Nahas
Berwind Property Group
3000 Centre Square West
1500 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Daniel Gewanter
Whippoorwill Associates
11 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10606
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steven M. Wagshal
Samlyn Capital
500 Park Avenue, 2nd Floor
New York, New York 10022
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David Hicks
Sustainable Woodlands Fund
4265 San Felipe, 8th Floor
Houston, Texas 77027
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Dominique Oliva
Taconic Capital Advisors
450 Park Avenue, 9th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Matthew Seppel
Three Bridges Europe Fund
152 West 57th Street, 28th Floor
New York, New York 10019
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kevin J. Curley
General Counsel
J.H. Whitney Capital Partners
130 Main Street
New Canaan, Connecticut 06840
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mel Williams
TrueBridge GP Partners II
1350 Environ Way
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27517
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kimberly Anne Summe
Chief Operating Officer
General Counsel
Partner Fund Management
Four Embarcadero Center - Suite 3500
San Francisco, California 94111
(w/o enclosures)