



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 29, 2012

Mr. R. Brooks Moore
Managing Counsel, Governance
The Texas A&M University System
301 Tarrow Street, Sixth Floor
College Station, Texas 77840-7896

OR2012-19186

Dear Mr. Moore:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 472286 (TAMU-12-451).

Texas A&M University (the "university") received a request for (1) names and contact information for all interview panel members; (2) scoring sheets or tabulation of scoring for each firm interviewed; and (3) proposed scope of services and fee proposal for the winning firm, related to RAFFP Main 12-0018. You inform us information responsive to items one and two of the request is being provided to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information responsive to item three is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Brinkley Sargent Architects ("Brinkley Sargent"). Accordingly, you notified Brinkley Sargent of the request for information and of the company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Act in certain circumstances).* We have reviewed the submitted information.

We next note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).* As of the date of this letter, Brinkley Sargent has not submitted comments to this office explaining why the submitted information should not be

released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that Brinkley Sargent has a protected proprietary interest in this information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold any portion of the submitted information based upon the proprietary interests of Brinkley Sargent. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised for this information, the university must release it.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/som

Ref: ID# 472554

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dwayne Brinkley
Brinkley Sargent Architects
5000 Quorum Drive, Suite 600
Dallas, Texas 75254
(w/o enclosures)