



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 19, 2012

Mr. Jason L. Mathis  
For Town of Addison  
Cowles & Thompson  
901 Main Street, Suite 3900  
Dallas, Texas 75202-3793

OR2012-20441

Dear Mr. Mathis:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 474387.

The Addison Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a particular incident, including the reports, videos, and vehicle impound sheet. You indicate you have released some information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses constitutional privacy, which protects two kinds of interests. See *Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589, 599-600 (1977); Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 3-5 (1992), 478 at 4 (1987), 455 at 3-7 (1987). The first is the interest in independence in making certain important decisions relating to the "zones of privacy" pertaining to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education the United States Supreme Court has recognized. See *Fadjo v. Coon*, 633 F.2d 1172 (5th Cir. 1981); ORD 455 at 3-7. The second constitutionally protected privacy interest is in freedom from public disclosure of certain personal matters. See *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Tex.*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985); ORD 455 at 6-7. This aspect of constitutional privacy balances the individual's privacy interest against the public's interest in the information. See ORD 455 at 7. Constitutional privacy under section 552.101 is reserved for "the most intimate aspects of human affairs" and the scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common-law doctrine of privacy. *Id.* at 5 (internal quotations omitted) (quoting *Ramie*, 765 F.2d at 492).

Federal courts have recognized individuals have a constitutional right to privacy in their unclothed bodies. Quoting the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which concluded, “[w]e cannot conceive of a more basic subject of privacy than the naked body[.]” the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has found “there is a right to privacy in one’s unclothed or partially unclothed body, regardless [of] whether that right is established through the auspices of the Fourth Amendment or the Fourteenth Amendment.” *Poe v. Leonard*, 282 F.3d 123, 138-39 (2d Cir. 2002) (quoting *York v. Story*, 324 F.2d 450, 455 (9th Cir. 1963)). We note one of the submitted videos depicts the partially unclothed body of an individual involved in the incident. The department must withhold this information, which we have indicated on the video, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with constitutional privacy.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common-law right to privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be met. *Id.* at 681-82. Common-law privacy protects the types of information held to be intimate or embarrassing in *Industrial Foundation*. *See id.* at 683 (information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs). Additionally, this office has found some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). Upon review, we find the information we have indicated on the submitted videos is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Therefore, this information must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we note the public has a legitimate interest in knowing the general details of a crime. *See generally* *Lowe v. Hearst Communications, Inc.*, 487 F.3d 246, 250 (5th Cir. 2007) (noting a “legitimate public interest in facts tending to support an allegation of criminal activity” (citing *Cinel v. Connick*, 15 F.3d 1338, 1345-46 (1994)); *Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d 177, 186-187 (public has legitimate interest in details of crime and police efforts to combat crime in community). Thus, we find the remaining information is not highly intimate or embarrassing information of no legitimate public concern, and the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

We note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license, title, or registration issued by an agency of Texas or

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

another state or country is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130(a). Therefore, the department must withhold the information we have marked in the submitted report and indicated on the submitted videos under section 552.130.

In summary, the department must withhold the information we have indicated on the submitted videos under section 552.101 in conjunction with constitutional and common-law privacy. The department must also withhold the information we have marked in the submitted report and information we have indicated on the submitted videos under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jasmine D. Wightman  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JDW/dls

Ref: ID# 474387

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>2</sup>We note the remaining information contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.147(b).