



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 19, 2012

Ms. L. Carolyn Nivens  
Paralegal  
Ross, Banks, May, Cron & Cavin, P.C.  
2 Riverway, Suite 700  
Houston, Texas 77056

OR2012-20444

Dear Ms. Nivens:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 474648 (LCPD No. 2327).

The League City Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for a specified incident report. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you also raise section 552.023 of the Government Code, we note section 552.023 is not an exception to disclosure under the Act. See Gov't Code § 552.023.

(2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (2). We note the protections offered by sections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code are, generally, mutually exclusive. Section 552.108(a)(1) generally applies to information that pertains to criminal investigations or prosecutions that are currently pending, while section 552.108(a)(2) protects law enforcement records that pertain to criminal investigations and prosecutions that have concluded in final results other than criminal convictions or deferred adjudications. A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). A governmental body that claims section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See Gov't Code* §§ 552.108(a)(2), .301(e)(1)(A).

You seek to withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(2) and state the information pertains to a report "for which no arrest, citation, conviction, or deferred adjudication resulted." However, you do not inform us this case relates to a closed criminal investigation. Instead, you also indicate the information should be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1) by stating release of the information at issue "would interfere with law enforcement." Furthermore, although you provide a letter from the department's Records Specialist, the Records Specialist does not raise section 552.108 for the submitted information. Based on your conflicting representations, we are unable to determine whether the information at issue relates to an ongoing criminal case or a closed case that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. Thus, we conclude the department has failed to demonstrate the applicability of either subsection 552.108(a)(1) or subsection 552.108(a)(2) to the submitted information. Therefore, the department may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.108.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by the common-law informer's privilege, which has long been recognized by Texas courts. *See Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); *Hawthorne v. State*, 10 S.W.2d 724, 725 (Tex. Crim. App. 1928). The privilege protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi-criminal law enforcement authority, provided the subject of the information does not already know the informer's identity. Open Records Decision Nos. 515 at 3 (1988), 208 at 1-2 (1978). The privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar law-enforcement agencies, as well as those who report

violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres." Open Records Decision No. 279 at 2 (1981) (citing 8 John H. Wigmore, *Evidence in Trials at Common Law* § 2374, at 767 (J. McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. See Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4-5. However, individuals who provide information in the course of an investigation but do not make the initial report of the violations are not informants for the purposes of claiming the informer's privilege. The privilege excepts the informer's statement only to the extent necessary to protect that informer's identity. Open Records Decision No. 549 at 5 (1990).

You inform us the submitted information contains the identifying information of an individual who reported a criminal violation to the department. Additionally, you state the subject of the complaint does not know the identity of the reporting party. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the department may withhold the identifying information of the reporting party, which we have marked, under section 552.101 in conjunction with the informer's privilege.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the common-law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses to be excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). Upon review, we find the information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find none of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public interest. Therefore, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of common-law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). Accordingly, the department must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law informer's privilege. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with

common-law privacy and section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey W. Giles  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JWG/dls

Ref: ID# 474648

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)