



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

January 8, 2013

Mr. Steven Meyer  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Arlington  
P.O. Box 1065  
Austin, Texas 76004

OR2013-00436

Dear Mr. Meyer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 475457 (Arlington Reference No. 9327-101212).

The Arlington Police Department (the "department") received a request for a specified incident report and a copy of the related 9-1-1 call. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the department's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code, which prescribes the procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days of receiving the written request. You inform us the department received the request for information on October 11, 2012. Accordingly, the department's ten-business-day deadline was October 25, 2012; however, the department's request for a ruling bears a meter-mark of October 26, 2012. *See* Gov't Code § 552.308 (describing rules for calculating submission dates of documents sent via first class United States mail). Thus, the department failed to comply with the procedural requirements mandated by section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.— Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.— Austin 1990, no writ); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). A compelling reason exists when third-party interests are at stake or when information is confidential under other law. Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977). Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 5 (2000) (untimely request for decision resulted in waiver of discretionary exceptions), 177 (1977) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 subject to waiver). Thus, the department's claim under section 552.108 is not a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. Therefore, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.108. However, we note that section 552.130 of the Government Code may be applicable to some of the submitted information.<sup>1</sup> As section 552.130 can provide a compelling reason to withhold information, we will consider whether any of the submitted information must be withheld under this exception.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides that information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, title, or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). Upon review, we find the department must withhold the driver's license numbers we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>2</sup>We note the remaining information contains a social security number. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.147(b). We further note, the requestor has a special right of access to some of the information being released in this instance. Gov't Code § 552.023 (person or person's authorized representative has special right of access to records that contain information relating to the person that are protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests). Because such information may be confidential with respect to the general public, if the department receives another request for this information from a different requestor, the department must again seek a ruling from this office.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Thana Hussaini  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TH/som

Ref: ID# 475457

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)