



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 13, 2013

Ms. Debra A. Drayovitch
Counsel for the City of Corinth
Drayovitch, P.C.
620 West Hickory Street
Denton, Texas 76201

OR2013-07889

Dear Ms. Drayovitch:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 487144.

The Corinth Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident involving the requestor, including training and disciplinary records of the involved officers. You state the department will provide the requestor with access to some of the requested information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body must reasonably explain how release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

You state the submitted information in Exhibit B, including the video recordings, pertains to a pending criminal case with the Corinth Municipal Court. Based on this representation and our review of the information, we find the department has demonstrated that release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of a crime. See *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court describes law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref'd per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976).

We note section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. See 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Basic information includes, among other things, a detailed description of the offense. See ORD 127. Thus, with the exception of the basic information, the department may withhold the submitted information in Exhibit B, including the video recordings, under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.¹

You assert the remaining information in Exhibit D is excepted from public disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code, which provides, in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body receives the request for

¹As we make this determination, we do not address your claim under section 552.103 of the Government Code for this information, except to note that basic information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle* generally is not excepted from public disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. See Open Records Decision No. 597 (1991).

information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). *See* ORD 551 at 4.

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To demonstrate litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish concrete evidence litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id.* Concrete evidence to support a claim litigation is reasonably anticipated may include, for example, the governmental body's receipt of a letter containing a specific threat to sue the governmental body from an attorney for a potential opposing party. ORD 555; *see* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989) (litigation must be "realistically contemplated"). On the other hand, this office has determined if an individual publicly threatens to bring suit against a governmental body, but does not actually take objective steps toward filing suit, litigation is not reasonably anticipated. *See* Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982). Further, the fact that a potential opposing party has hired an attorney who makes a request for information does not establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated. Open Records Decision No. 361 (1983).

You claim the department reasonably anticipates litigation with regard to the requestor because the requestor has filed a complaint alleging he was illegally detained in violation of the Fourth Amendment and the Texas Constitution. However, you have not informed us the requestor has actually threatened litigation or otherwise taken any concrete steps toward the initiation of litigation. *See* ORD 331. Therefore, you have not established the department reasonably anticipated litigation when it received the request for information. Consequently, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information in Exhibit D under section 552.103 of the Government Code.


In summary, with the exception of the basic information, the department may withhold the submitted information in Exhibit B, including the video recordings, under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code. The department must release basic information and the remaining information in Exhibit D to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php,

or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Cindy Nettles".

Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/dls

Ref: ID# 487144

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)