



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 16, 2013

Mr. Jorge L. Trevino, Jr.  
Assistant County Attorney  
County of Webb  
1110 Washington Street, Suite 301  
Laredo, Texas 78040

OR2013-08204

Dear Mr. Trevino:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 487836.

Webb County (the "county") received a request for (1) specified billing statements submitted by a named individual; (2) all agreements between the county and the Webb County Attorney's Office; and (3) pay stubs from payments made to the named individual. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.103, and 552.117 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you provide information showing the county requested clarification of item two of the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 31 (1974) (when presented with broad requests for information rather than for specific records, governmental body may advise requestor of types of information available so

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you also raise section 552.024 of the Government Code as an exception to disclosure, we note this section is not an exception to public disclosure under the Act. Rather, this section permits a current or former official or employee of a governmental body to choose whether to allow public access to certain information relating to the current or former official or employee that is held by the employing governmental body. *See* Gov't Code § 552.024.

request may be properly narrowed). We understand the county has not received clarification of that portion of the request. Thus, we find the county is not required to release information in response to that portion of the request. However, if the requestor does provide clarification, the county must seek a ruling from this office before withholding any responsive information from the requestor. *See City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding when governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of unclear or overbroad request for public information, ten-day period to request attorney general ruling is measured from date request is clarified or narrowed).

Next, we note you have not submitted for our review any information responsive to item one of the request. We note the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when it received a request or create responsive information. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 555 at 1 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983). However, to the extent the requested information was maintained by the county on the date the county received the request, we assume you have released it. *See* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible). If you have not released any such records, you must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

You claim the submitted information should be withheld because the requestor's purpose for the request is to harass, embarrass, and intimidate the individual whose information is the subject of the request. However, this office has determined the Act does not permit the consideration by a governmental body or this office of a requestor's motives when responding to open records requests. *Id.* § 552.222(a) (stating governmental body may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 508 at 2 (1988) (motives of a person seeking information under the Act are irrelevant), 51 (1974). Therefore, the county must release the requested information unless it falls within the scope of an exception to disclosure.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in relevant part as follows:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The purpose of section 552.103 is to protect the litigation interests of governmental bodies that are parties to the litigation at issue. *See id.* § 552.103(a); Open Records Decision No. 638 at 2 (1996) (section 552.103 only protects the litigation interests of the governmental body claiming the exception). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show section 552.103(a) is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). ORD 551 at 4.

You state, and have submitted information showing, a lawsuit was filed against the county prior to the county's receipt of the instant request for information. As such, we agree litigation was pending against the county at the time of the request. You contend the submitted information relates to the pending litigation because the request may be part of the opposing counsel's strategy to raise litigation costs and encourage settlement of the case. We note the pending litigation involves the death of an inmate in a county jail and the submitted information consists of payroll information of an attorney representing the county in the litigation. Upon review, we find the county has failed to demonstrate the submitted information is related to the pending litigation. Accordingly, the county may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681–82. The type of information considered highly intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found personal financial information not relating to a

financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (finding personal financial information to include designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits and optional insurance coverage; choice of particular insurance carrier; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care, or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). This office has also determined a public employee's net pay is protected by common-law privacy even though it involves a financial transaction between the employee and the governmental body. *See* Attorney General Opinion GA-0572 at 3-5 (2007). We note, however, the public generally has a legitimate interest in information that relates to public employment and public employees. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 542 (1990), 470 at 4 (1987), 444 at 5-6 (1986), 432 at 2 (1984). Upon review, we find some of the submitted information is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the county must withhold this information, of which we have marked a representative sample, pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.<sup>2</sup> However, the county has failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information at issue is highly intimate or embarrassing and a matter of no legitimate public interest. Therefore, no portion of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). Upon review, we find no portion of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.102(a), and none of it may be withheld on that basis.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.024, .117. Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive for this information, we need not address your remaining arguments against its disclosure.

of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Therefore, to the extent the named individual whose information is at issue made a timely election under section 552.024, the county must withhold her home address, of which we have marked a representative sample, under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. To the extent she did not make a timely election under section 552.024, the county may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>3</sup>

In summary, the county must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the named individual whose information is at issue made a timely election under section 552.024, the county must withhold the address we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Michelle R. Garza  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MRG/som

---

<sup>3</sup>Section 552.024(c)(2) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information protected by section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act if the current or former employee or official to whom the information pertains timely chooses not to allow public access to the information. See Gov't Code § 552.024(c)(2).

Ref: ID# 487836

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)