



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 23, 2013

Ms. Susan K. Bohn  
Assistant Superintendent and General Counsel  
Lake Travis Independent School District  
3322 Ranch Road 620 South  
Austin, Texas 78738

OR2013-08600

Dear Ms. Bohn:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 488394 (ORR# 022613-F8E/DL 4811, 022613-FA2/DL 4831, 022613-F96/DL 4819, 022613-F82/DL 4799, 022613-F83/DL 4800, 022613-F97/DL 4820).

The Lake Travis Independent School District (the "district") received six requests for (1) billing statements, invoices, and receipts for legal expenses received or paid during specified time periods; (2) documents regarding resignations or terminations of district employees and contractors during specified time periods; and (3) employee exit interview documents created or submitted during specified time periods. You state the district is making some of the requested information available to the requestor. You state the district has redacted information subject to section 552.117 of the Government Code as permitted by section 552.024(c) of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> The district has also redacted personal e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code pursuant to Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).<sup>2</sup> You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.107 of the Government Code and privileged under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.024(c)(2) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information protected by section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act if the current or former employee or official to whom the information pertains timely chooses not to allow public access to the information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.024(c)(2).

<sup>2</sup>Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination issued by this office authorizing all governmental bodies to withhold ten categories of information without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision, including an e-mail address of a member of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code.

We note the submitted attorney fee bills fall within the scope of section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(16) provides for required public disclosure of “information that is in a bill for attorney’s fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege,” unless the information is confidential under the Act or other law. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(16). Although you seek to withhold the submitted attorney fee bills under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code, section 552.107(1) is a discretionary exception to disclosure and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 6 (2002) (Gov’t Code § 552.107(1) is not other law for purposes of Gov’t Code § 552.022), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the information in the attorney fee bills under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code. The Texas Supreme Court has held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are “other law” that makes information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Therefore, we will address your claim under Texas Rule of Evidence 503 for the attorney fee bills.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client’s lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer’s representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client’s lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
- (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if it is not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See* ORD 676 at 6-7. Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show the communication is confidential by explaining it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. *Id.* Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the entire communication is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein); *In re Valero Energy Corp.*, 973 S.W.2d 453, 457 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1998, orig. proceeding) (privilege extends to entire communication, including factual information).

You contend the attorney-client privilege is applicable to the entirety of the information in the submitted attorney fee bills. Alternatively, you seek to withhold marked portions of the fee bills. We note section 552.022(a)(16) provides that information “that is *in* a bill for attorney’s fees” is not excepted from disclosure unless the information is confidential under the Act or other law or protected by the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(16) (emphasis added). Thus, by its express language, section 552.022(a)(16) does not permit an attorney fee bill to be withheld in its entirety. *See also* Open Records Decisions Nos. 676 (attorney fee bill cannot be withheld in its entirety on basis it contains or is attorney-client communication pursuant to language in Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(16)), 589 (1991) (information in attorney fee bill is excepted only to extent it reveals client confidences or attorney’s legal advice). Accordingly, we will determine whether the district may withhold the information you have marked in the fee bills under rule 503. You state the attorney fee bills contain communications between the district and attorneys of the district that were made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services. You do not indicate the district has waived the attorney-client privilege with regard to the communications. Based on your representations and our review, we find the district may withhold the information we have marked under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. However, some of the communications are with individuals you have not demonstrated are privileged parties. Further, some of the information at issue does not document a communication. Thus, we find you have not demonstrated the remaining information reveals privileged attorney-client communications for the purposes of Texas Rule of Evidence 503. Thus, the remaining information at issue may not be withheld on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses common-law privacy, which protects information that is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and of no legitimate public interest. *See*

*Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both elements of the test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. Common-law privacy protects the specific types of information held to be intimate or embarrassing in *Industrial Foundation*. *See id.* at 683 (information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs). This office has found some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). This office has also found personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). This office has found financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first requirement of the test for common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits, direct deposit authorization, and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care or dependent care), 523 (1989). We further note the scope of a public employee's privacy is narrow. *See* Open Records Decision No. 423 at 2 (1984). Upon review, we find the information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction common-law privacy. However, you have failed to demonstrate the remaining information you have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Thus, the remaining information you have marked may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Therefore, to the extent the individuals whose information we have marked timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information we have marked under

section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. Conversely, to the extent the individuals at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the district may not withhold the information under section 552.117(a)(1).

In summary, the district may withhold the information we have marked under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. The district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. To the extent the individuals whose information we have marked timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DLW/dls

Ref: ID# 488394

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)