



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 18, 2013

Mr. Ronny H. Wall
Associate General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Texas Tech University System
P.O. Box 42021
Lubbock, Texas 79409-2021

OR2013-10255

Dear Mr. Wall:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 490646.

Texas Tech University (the "university") received a request for proposals and prices of the successful offering firms for request for proposals number 2013-530, Job Order Contract.¹ Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Basic IDIQ ("Basic"); Collier Construction ("Collier"); Talon/LPE, Ltd. ("Talon"); Teinert Commercial Building Services ("Teinert"); and Vaughn Construction ("Vaughn") of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of

¹You inform us the university provided the requestor with an estimate of charges and a request for a deposit for payment of those charges on March 25, 2013. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.2615, .263(a). You state the university received a deposit for payment of the anticipated costs on April 1, 2013. Thus, April 15, 2013 is the date on which the university is deemed to have received the request. *See id.* § 552.263(e) (if governmental body requires deposit or bond for anticipated costs pursuant to section 552.263, request for information is considered to have been received on the date the governmental body receives deposit or bond).

exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Collier and Teinert. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. See *id.* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Basic, Talon, and Vaughn explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Basic, Talon, or Vaughn have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. See *id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest Basic, Talon, or Vaughn may have in it.

Collier asserts that some of its information is excepted under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that is considered to be confidential under other constitutional, statutory, or decisional law. See Open Records Decision Nos. 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy), 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality). Collier has not directed our attention to any law under which any of its information is considered to be confidential for the purposes of section 552.101. Therefore, we conclude the university may not withhold any of Collier's information under that section.

We note portions of Talon's proposal are subject to section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). Upon review, we find the information we have marked in Talon's proposal is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the university must withhold

the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Next, Collier and Teinert claim their information is excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code, which protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *See Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1957); *see also* ORD 552. Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.² RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office must accept a

²The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5-6 (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Upon review, we find Teinert has established some of its customer information constitutes a trade secret. Therefore, the university must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. We note, however, that Teinert has published the identities of some of its customers on its website. Thus, Teinert has failed to demonstrate that the information it has published on its website is a trade secret. Further, Collier and Teinert have failed to demonstrate that any of the remaining information each company seeks to withhold meets the definition of a trade secret, nor have Collier and Teinert demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for this information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 319 at 3 (1982) (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Thus, none of Collier’s and Teinert’s remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Upon review of Collier’s and Teinert’s arguments and the information at issue, we find each company has made only conclusory allegations that the release of the remaining information each seeks to withhold would result in substantial damage to their competitive position. Thus, Collier and Teinert have not demonstrated that substantial competitive injury would result from the release of any of their remaining information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661, 509 at 5 (1988) (because bid specifications and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Accordingly, none of the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

Collier raises section 552.131(a) of the Government Code. Section 552.131(a) provides:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

- (1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or
- (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

Gov't Code § 552.131(a). Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only "trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect" and "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." *Id.* This aspect of section 552.131 is co-extensive with section 552.110 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); ORD 552 at 5, 661 at 5-6. We note 552.131(a) does not protect the interests of a governmental body. As previously stated, Collier has failed to demonstrate any portion of the information at issue meets the definition of a trade secret, and Collier has provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing release of the information at issue would cause the company substantial competitive injury. Consequently, we conclude the university may not withhold any portion of Collier's submitted information under section 552.131(a).

We note portions of the remaining information are subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code.³ Section 552.136 states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, the university must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the university must withhold the information we have marked under (1) section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law

³The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

privacy; (2) section 552.110(a) of the Government Code; and (3) section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.⁴

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Luttrall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JL/som

Ref: ID# 490646

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chad Henthorn
Vice President
Teinert Commercial Building Services
P.O. Box 5327
Lubbock, Texas 79408
(w/o enclosures)

⁴We note the remaining information contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. See Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

Mr. Scott Collier
Collier Construction Company
2202 Avenue E
Lubbock, Texas 79404
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Barrick
President
Basic IDIQ, Inc.
10713 RR 620 North, F622
Austin, Texas 78726
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Todd Barbour
Vice President
Talon/LPE, Ltd.
921 North Bivins
Amarillo, Texas 79107
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Tom Vaughn
Chief Executive Officer
Vaughn Construction
1655 Main Street, Suite 209
Lubbock, Texas 79401
(w/o enclosures)