



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 27, 2013

Ms. Melody Chappell
Counsel for Port Arthur Independent School District
Wells, Peyton, Greenburg & Hunt, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 3708
Beaumont, Texas 77704-3708

OR2013-11008

Dear Ms. Chappell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 491655.

The Port Arthur Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for any complaints contained in the personnel file of a name teacher at Robert E. Lee Elementary School. We understand the district is redacting information pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a).¹ See Gov't Code §§ 552.026 (incorporating FERPA into the Act), .114 (excepting from disclosure "student records"); Open Records Decision No. 539 (1990) (determining the same analysis applies under section 552.114 of the Government Code and FERPA). You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't

¹The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act. The DOE has determined FERPA determinations must be made by the educational authority in possession of the educational records. We have posted a copy of the letter from the DOE on the Attorney General's website at <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 21.355 of the Education Code provides, in relevant part, “[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential.” Educ. Code § 21.355(a). The Third Court of Appeals has concluded a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355 because “it reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or administrator. *See Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996)*. In *Open Records Decision No. 643*, we determined for purposes of section 21.355, the word “teacher” means a person who is required to and does in fact hold a teaching certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code and who is in the process of teaching, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *See id.* at 4.

You contend the submitted information consists of confidential evaluations of the named teacher by the district. We understand the teacher at issue was engaged in the process of teaching at the time of the evaluations. However, you do not inform us the teacher at issue held a teaching certificate or permit under chapter 21 of the Education Code at the time of the evaluations. *See ORD 643 at 4*. Accordingly, we must rule conditionally. To the extent the teacher at issue held a teaching certificate or permit under chapter 21 of the Education Code, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code. To the extent the teacher at issue did not hold a teaching certificate or permit under chapter 21 of the Education Code, the information we have marked is not confidential under section 21.355 of the Education Code and may not be withheld on that basis under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Further, we find you have failed to demonstrate how the remaining information consists of documents evaluating the performance of a teacher for purposes of section 21.355 of the Education Code. Accordingly, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis. As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Wheelus', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/dls

Ref: ID# 491655

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

