



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 9, 2013

Mr. David F. Brown
Counsel for Port of Houston Authority
Ewell, Bickham & Brown, LLP
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 400
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2013-11629

Dear Mr. Brown:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 493319.

The Port of Houston Authority (the "authority"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to missing authority IT equipment, including an inventory of authority IT equipment, surveillance video recordings regarding report of missing equipment, correspondence to or from a named individual regarding the individual's termination, correspondence to or from the named individual or his representative regarding the missing equipment, and any authority police or incident report regarding possible theft of the missing equipment. You state you will release some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information, portions of which consist of representative samples.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't

¹We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, including federal law. *See English v. Gen. Elec. Co.*, 496 U.S. 72, 79 (1990). On November 25, 2002, the President signed the Homeland Security Act (“HSA”) and the Maritime Transportation Security Act (“MTSA”). The HSA created the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) and transferred the Coast Guard and the Transportation Security Administration (“TSA”), a new agency created in the Department of Transportation the previous year to oversee the security of air travel, to DHS. *See* 6 U.S.C. §§ 111, 203, 468. The MTSA, among other things, added chapter 701 to title 46 of the United States Code, consisting of new provisions enhancing the security of seagoing vessels and port and harbor facilities. Under the MTSA, the Secretary of DHS is responsible for regulation of port security through the Coast Guard and the TSA, along with the Maritime Administration of the Department of Transportation.

In connection with the transfer of TSA to DHS, the HSA also transferred TSA’s authority concerning sensitive security information (“SSI”) under section 40119 of title 49 of the United States Code to section 114 of title 49 of the United States Code, and amended section 40119 to vest similar SSI authority in the Secretary of the Department of Transportation.² Section 114(r) of title 49 states in relevant part:

Notwithstanding [the Federal Freedom of Information Act (the “FOIA”),] the Under Secretary [for Transportation Security, head of TSA] shall prescribe regulations prohibiting the disclosure of information obtained or developed in carrying out security under authority of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act . . . if the Under Secretary decides disclosing the information would—

- (A) be an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (B) reveal a trade secret or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information; or
- (C) be detrimental to the security of transportation.

49 U.S.C. § 114(r). This provision requires the TSA’s Under Secretary to “prescribe regulations prohibiting the disclosure of information obtained or developed in carrying out security under authority of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act.” *Id.* It authorizes the Under Secretary to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested not only under the FOIA, but also under other disclosure statutes. *Cf. Public Citizen, Inc. v. Federal Aviation Administration*, 988 F.2d 186, 194 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (former section 40119 authorized FAA Administrator to prescribe regulations prohibiting disclosure

²This ruling does not construe the parallel federal statutes and regulations which apply to the Department of Transportation.

of information under other statutes as well as under the FOIA). Thus, the Under Secretary is authorized by section 114(r) to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested under the Act.

Pursuant to the mandate and authority of section 114(r) of title 49, TSA published regulations found in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which took effect June 17, 2004. *See* 69 Fed. Reg. 28066. Section 1520.1(a) of these regulations provides that the regulations govern the disclosure of records and information that TSA has determined to be SSI as defined in section 1520.5 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 49 C.F.R. § 1520.1(a). Section 1520.5 defines SSI to include information obtained or developed in the conduct of security activities, including research and development, the disclosure of which TSA has determined would be detrimental to the security of transportation. *Id.* § 1520.5(a)(3).

Section 1520.5 lists sixteen categories of information that constitute SSI, including “[s]pecific details of . . . maritime . . . transportation security measures[.]” *Id.* § 1520.5(b)(8). Section 1520.9 provides that those covered by the regulation, which, among others, includes the operator of a maritime facility required to have a security plan under the MTSA, “must [t]ake reasonable steps to safeguard SSI . . . from unauthorized disclosure[.]” and must “[r]efer requests by other persons for SSI to TSA or the applicable component or agency within DOT or DHS.” *Id.* § 1520.7(a), .9(a). You inform us the authority is an operator of a maritime facility required to have a security plan under the MTSA. *See* 46 U.S.C. § 70103(c); 33 C.F.R. § 105.400 (requiring owner or operator of maritime facility to submit security plan to DHS).

You claim the information in Exhibit A relates to the security of the Port of Houston (the “port”). You assert the information at issue concerns specific information pertaining to the port’s information technology infrastructure, including specific makes and models of IT equipment, location of the equipment, and equipment servicing entrances to and exits from the port facilities. You contend the information at issue is sufficient “to permit a potential physical or cyber intruder to understand, obtain information on vulnerabilities in, seek access to, or disable the authority’s surveillance equipment and computer infrastructure to plan or enable incursions onto Port [of Houston] property for the purpose of destroying or compromising Port [of Houston] facilities or third-party cargo or for injuring Port [of Houston] or other personnel authorized to occupy Port [of Houston] premises.” We determine, based upon the above described statutory and regulatory scheme and our review of the submitted information, that the decision to release or withhold the information at issue is not for this office or the authority to make, but rather is a decision for the TSA and the Coast Guard. *See English*, 496 U.S. at 79 (state law is preempted to extent it actually conflicts with federal law). Consequently, we conclude the authority may not release any of

the information at issue at this time under the Act, and instead must allow the TSA and the Coast Guard to make a determination concerning disclosure.³

You claim the information in Exhibit B is subject to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state the information at issue relates to a pending criminal investigation by the authority’s police department. Based upon this representation, we conclude section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable and the release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code is generally applicable to Exhibit B.

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure “basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing the types of information considered to be basic information). We note basic information includes, among other items, a detailed description of the offense. *See* ORD 127 at 3-4. Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense information, the authority may withhold Exhibit B from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.


In summary, the authority may not release any of the information in Exhibit A at this time under the Act, and instead must allow the TSA and the Coast Guard to make a determination concerning disclosure. With the exception of basic information, which must be released, the authority may withhold Exhibit B under section 52.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

³As we are able to make this determination, we do not address the remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cynthia G. Tynan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CGT/akg

Ref: ID# 493319

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)