



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 16, 2013

Mr. Rob Blech
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Medical Board
P.O. Box 2018
Austin, Texas 78768-2018

OR2013-12146

Dear Mr. Blech:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 493383 (TMB Ref. No. 23403).

The Texas Medical Board (the "board") received a request for all records related to a specified complaint. You state the board has released some of the requested information. You state the board is withholding some information pursuant to previous determinations issued by our office in Open Records Letter Nos. 2006-14198 (2006) and 2007-03117 (2007).¹ You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

¹Open Records Letter Nos. 2006-14198 and 2007-03117 authorize the board to withhold investigatory records pertaining to licensing investigations of an applicant for license as a physician and investigative information that is in the possession of or was received or gathered by the board during the investigation of a license holder, respectively, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 164.007 of the Occupations Code.

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). Exhibit 4 consists of a completed report that is subject to subsection 552.022(a)(1). The board must release the completed report pursuant to subsection 552.022(a)(1) unless it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(1). You seek to withhold Exhibit 4 under section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, sections 552.111 is a discretionary exception and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 677 (2002) (governmental body may waive attorney work product privilege under section 552.111), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions).* Therefore, Exhibit 4 may not be withheld under section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, the Texas Supreme Court has held the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). We will therefore consider your assertion of the attorney work product privilege under rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure for Exhibit 4. We will consider your submitted arguments for the information not subject to section 552.022(a)(1).

Rule 192.5 encompasses the attorney work product privilege. For purposes of section 552.022 of the Government Code, information is confidential under rule 192.5 only to the extent the information implicates the core work product aspect of the work product privilege. *See Open Records Decision No. 677 at 9-10 (2002).* Rule 192.5 defines core work product as the work product of an attorney or an attorney's representative, developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial, that contains the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of the attorney or the attorney's representative. *See TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(a), (b)(1).* Accordingly, in order to withhold attorney core work product from disclosure under rule 192.5, a governmental body must demonstrate the material was (1) created for trial or in anticipation of litigation and (2) consists of the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or an attorney's representative. *Id.*

The first prong of the work product test, which requires a governmental body to show the information at issue was created in anticipation of litigation, has two parts. A governmental body must demonstrate (1) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation there was a substantial chance litigation would ensue, and (2) the party resisting discovery believed in good faith there was a substantial chance litigation would ensue and conducted the investigation for the purpose of preparing for such litigation. *See Nat'l Tank v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A "substantial chance" of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather "that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear." *Id.* at 204. The second part of the work product test requires the governmental body to show the materials at issue contain the mental impressions, opinions, conclusions, or legal theories of an attorney or an attorney's representative. *See TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5(b)(1).* A document

containing core work product information that meets both parts of the work product test is confidential under rule 192.5, provided the information does not fall within the scope of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 192.5(c). *See Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You argue Exhibit 4 consists of privileged attorney work product. You state, and provide documentation showing, the board filed a complaint against a named individual at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (“SOAH”) on April 9, 2009. You state the information at issue contains the mental impressions of a board consultant that formed the basis of the board’s complaint. We note the report at issue was requested by and created for the board’s attorney. You further state the information at issue was intended for use by the board in evaluating its legal strategy in the ongoing litigation and upcoming trial related to the complaint. Therefore, we find the information at issue constitutes core attorney work product. Accordingly, the board may withhold Exhibit 4 under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information that comes within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. *See Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002)*. First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. *See TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)*. The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *See In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, lawyer representatives, and a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein. *See TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)*. Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a confidential communication, *id.*, meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the intent of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire

communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state Exhibit 5 consists of communications between a board attorney and the board's disciplinary process review committee. You state these communications were made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the board. You state these communications were not intended to be disclosed to third persons, and you do not indicate the board has waived the confidentiality of the information at issue. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information in Exhibit 5. Accordingly, the board may withhold Exhibit 5 under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.²

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. Section 552.111 encompasses the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 360 (Tex. 2000); Open Records Decision No. 677 at 4-8 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines work product as

(1) material prepared or mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for a party or a party’s representatives, including the party’s attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees, or agents; or

(2) a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial between a party and the party’s representatives or among a party’s representatives, including the party’s attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees or agents.

TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5. A governmental body seeking to withhold information under this exception bears the burden of demonstrating the information was created or developed for trial or in anticipation of litigation by or for a party or a party’s representative. *Id.*; ORD 677 at 6-8. The test to determine whether information was created or developed in anticipation of litigation is the same as that discussed above concerning rule 192.5.

You claim Exhibit 3 is protected by the attorney work product privilege. As noted above, you explain the information at issue pertains to a complaint the board filed against a named individual at the SOAH. You inform us Exhibit 3 consists of a letter sent by a board representative to a board consultant for the purpose of retaining the consultant to provide his mental impressions and expert opinion in anticipation of litigation. Based on your

²As our ruling is dispositive for this information, we need not consider your remaining arguments against its disclosure.

representations and our review, we find the board has demonstrated the applicability of the attorney work product privilege to Exhibit 3. Accordingly, the board may withhold Exhibit 3 under the attorney work product privilege of section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the board may withhold Exhibit 4 under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5, Exhibit 5 under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code, and Exhibit 3 under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Nicholas A. Ybarra
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NAY/ac

Ref: ID# 493383

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)