



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 17, 2013

Mr. Isaac J. Tawil
Assistant City Attorney
City of McAllen
P.O. Box 220
McAllen, Texas 78505-0220

OR2013-16101

Dear Mr. Tawil:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 504159 (City PIR No. WO13204-081913).

The City of McAllen (the "city") received a request for a list containing "the number of employee badges issued to each company" at the city's airport. You claim the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by other statutes, including federal law. On November 25, 2002, the President of the United States signed the Homeland Security Act ("HSA"). The HSA created the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") and transferred the Transportation Security Administration ("TSA"), a new agency created in the Department of Transportation ("DOT") the previous year to oversee the security of transportation, to DHS. *See* 6 U.S.C. §§ 111, 203.

In connection with the transfer of TSA to DHS, the HSA also transferred TSA's authority concerning sensitive security information ("SSI") under section 40119 of title 49 of the United States Code to section 114(r) of title 49 of the United States Code and amended section 40119 to vest similar SSI authority in the secretary of DOT.¹ Section 114(r) of title 49 states:

¹This ruling does not construe the parallel federal statutes and regulations that apply to DOT.

(1) In general. –Notwithstanding [the Federal Freedom of Information Act (the “FOIA”)], the Under Secretary [for Transportation Security, head of TSA] shall prescribe regulations prohibiting the disclosure of information obtained or developed in carrying out security under authority of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act . . . if the Under Secretary decides disclosing the information would–

. . .

(C) be detrimental to the security of transportation.

49 U.S.C. § 114(r)(1)(C). This provision authorizes the Under Secretary to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested not only under the FOIA, but also under other disclosure statutes. *Cf. Public Citizen, Inc. v. Federal Aviation Administration*, 988 F.2d 186, 194 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (former section 40119 authorized Federal Aviation Administration administrator to prescribe regulations prohibiting disclosure of information under other statutes as well as under FOIA). Thus, the Under Secretary is authorized by section 114(r) to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested under the Act.

Pursuant to the mandate and authority of section 40119, the DOT’s FAA and TSA jointly published new regulations pertaining to civil aviation security, which are found in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations and which took effect February 17, 2002. *See* 67 Fed. Reg. 8340. Section 1520.1(a) of these regulations explains that the regulations govern the “maintenance, safeguarding, and disclosure of records and information that TSA has determined to be Sensitive Security Information [“SSI”], as defined in § 1520.5.” 49 C.F.R. § 1520.1(a). Section 1520.7 states that the covered persons to which these regulations apply to include, among others, airport operators, such as the system, and “[e]ach person employed by, contracted to, or acting for a covered person[.]” *See id.* § 1520.7(a), (k). Further, section 1520.7(j) specifies that these regulations apply to “[e]ach person who has access to SSI, as specified in [section] 1520.11.” *Id.* § 1520.7(j). Pursuant to section 1520.11(a), a person has a need to know SSI “[w]hen the person requires access to specific SSI to carry out transportation security activities approved, accepted, funded, recommended, or directed by DHS or DOT.” *See id.* § 1520.11(a). Section 1520.11(b) further states that a local government employee has a need to know SSI if access to the information is necessary for performance of the employee’s official duties on behalf or in defense of the interests of the local government. *See id.* § 1520.11(b)(1). Thus, the regulations in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations apply to the system.

As to the release of information by persons other than TSA, section 1520.9(a) of title 49 provides in part that a person to which these regulations apply has a duty to protect information, and may disclose SSI “only to covered persons who have a need to know, unless otherwise authorized in writing by TSA, the Coast Guard, or the Secretary of DOT.” 49 C.F.R. § 1520.9(a). Section 1520.9(a)(3) of title 49 further provides that those covered by

the regulation must “[r]efer requests by other persons for SSI to TSA or the applicable component or agency within DOT or DHS.” *Id.* § 1520.9(a)(3). SSI is defined to include certain information obtained or developed in the conduct of security activities, the disclosure of which TSA has determined would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy, reveal trade secrets or privileged or confidential information obtained from any person, or be detrimental to the security of transportation. *Id.* § 1520.5(a). SSI includes, but is not limited to, “identifying information of certain transportation security personnel[,]” “[l]ists of the names or other identifying information that identify persons as . . . [h]aving unescorted access to a secure area of an airport,” and “[a]ny information not otherwise described . . . that TSA determines is SSI under 49 U.S.C. 114(s) or that the Secretary of DOT determines is SSI under 49 U.S.C. 40119.” *Id.* § 1520.5(b).

Based on the statutory and regulatory scheme described above, we conclude the decision to release or withhold the information in question is not for this office or the system to make, but rather is a decision for the Under Secretary as head of the TSA. *See English v. Gen. Elec. Co.*, 496 U.S. 72, 79 (1990) (state law is preempted to extent it actually conflicts with federal law). In this instance, you inform us that the city referred this request for information and the relevant documents to the TSA for review. You also inform us that the TSA has reviewed the documents at issue and determined that the requested information constitutes sensitive security information that is protected from release by part 1520 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Accordingly, we conclude that the city must comply with the TSA’s directives on this matter with regard to the requested information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/dls

Mr. Isaac J. Tawil - Page 4

Ref: ID# 504159

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)