



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 23, 2013

Ms. Diane C. Wetherbee  
City Attorney  
City of Plano  
P.O. Box 860358  
Plano, Texas 75086-0358

OR2013-18411

Dear Ms. Wetherbee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 503919.

The City of Plano (the "city") received a request for records pertaining to the mayor's office, including purchase orders, contracts, bids, invoices, and memos or e-mails to or from any party involved. You state you will release some information to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of ASI Signage Innovations ("ASI") and KBR. Accordingly, you state you notified ASI and KBR of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from ASI or KBR explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude ASI or KBR have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not

withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest ASI or KBR may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Paige Thompson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PT/dls

Ref: ID# 503919

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David Esh  
ASI Signage Innovations  
8181 Jetstar Drive, Suite 110  
Irving, Texas 75063  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paul Kunze  
KBR  
1807 Ross Avenue, Suite 450  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)