



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 23, 2013

Mr. Douglas E. Manning  
Assistant County Attorney  
County of Orange  
801 Division  
Orange, Texas 77630

OR2013-18446

Dear Mr. Manning:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 504152.

The Orange County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") received a request for surveillance videos of parking lots and hallways of the Orange County Courthouse during a specified time.<sup>1</sup> The sheriff's office states it does not have information responsive to some of the requested information.<sup>2</sup> You claim the submitted information is excepted from

---

<sup>1</sup>The sheriff's office sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

<sup>2</sup>The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

disclosure under section 552.152 of the Government Code.<sup>3</sup> We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>4</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.”<sup>5</sup> Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, including section 418.182 of the Texas Homeland Security Act (the “HSA”), chapter 418 of the Government Code. Section 418.182 provides, in relevant part:

(a) [I]nformation . . . in the possession of a governmental entity that relates to the specifications, operating procedures, or location of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity is confidential.

*Id.* § 418.182(a). The fact information may be related to a security system does not make such information *per se* confidential under section 418.182. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation by a governmental body of a statute’s key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of a claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a governmental body asserting section 418.182 must adequately explain how the responsive records fall within the scope of the statute. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

The submitted video recordings reveal the locations of security surveillance cameras located throughout the Orange County Courthouse. We note the surveillance cameras are part of the security system used to protect the courthouse from acts of terrorism or related criminal activity. Upon review, we find the submitted surveillance video recordings relate to the location of a security system used to protect public or private property from an act of terrorism or related criminal activity. *See Tex. Dep’t of Pub. Safety v. Abbott*, 310 S.W.3d 670 (Tex. App.—Austin 2010, no pet.) (finding confidential under section 418.182 of the HSA video recording containing images recorded by security cameras in Texas Capitol hallway because specifications of security system included cameras’ capabilities and video

---

<sup>3</sup>Although you raise section 552.151 of the Government Code, we note the 82nd Texas Legislature renumbered section 552.151 to section 552.152 of the Government Code. *See* Act of May 9, 2011, 82nd Leg., R.S., S.B. 1303, § 27.001(20).

<sup>4</sup>We assume the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

<sup>5</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision No. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

recording demonstrated those capabilities through characteristics, quality, and clarity of images recorded). Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the submitted video recordings under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 418.182 of the Government Code.<sup>6</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lana L. Freeman  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LLF/eb6

Ref: ID# 504152

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>6</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.