



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 30, 2013

Ms. Ellen H. Spalding
Rogers, Morris & Grover, LLP
5718 Westheimer Road, Suite 1200
Houston, Texas 77057

OR2013-18903

Dear Ms. Spalding:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 504441 (EISD Request 4208).

The Eanes Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for the personnel file of the district's general counsel. We understand the district has redacted some information pursuant to sections 552.024 and 552.147 of the Government Code.¹ The district claims some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be

¹Section 552.024(c)(2) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information protected by section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act if the current or former employee or official to whom the information pertains timely chooses not to allow public access to the information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.024(c)(2). Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has found personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). Upon review, we find some of the submitted information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the district must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

You also claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102 (a) of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court has held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). We agree the district must withhold the dates of birth you have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

Section 552.102(b) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “a transcript from an institution of higher education maintained in the personnel file of a professional public school employee[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.102(b). We must consider whether the Legislature intended the term “professional public school employee” in section 552.102(b) to include the district’s general counsel. Section 552.102(b) does not define “professional public school employee.” When construing a statute, a court may consider the circumstances under which the Legislature enacted the statute as well as its legislative history. *Id.* § 311.023(2), (3); *City of Rockwall v. Hughes*, 246 S.W.3d 621, 626 n.6 (Tex. 2008). In 1989, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 404 (“S.B. 404”) as an amendment to the Act to include the statutory predecessor to section 552.102(b). The Senate sponsor of S.B. 404, Senator Don Henderson, stated before the Senate Committee for State Affairs the bill was a response to “people trying to get past a teacher’s degree, past a teacher’s hiring, . . . [and] past a school board’s determination that a teacher was qualified to teach[.]” Hearing on S.B. 404 Before the Senate Comm. for State Affairs, 71st Leg., R.S. (February 27, 1989) (statement of Senator Henderson) (recording available from Senate Staff Services Office). During the Senate floor debate of the bill, Senator Henderson further questioned the purpose of “any citizen being able to look at any teacher’s transcript” because “there are several other means by which we say teachers are qualified to teach in this state.” *Id.*; see also Debate on Tex. S.B. 404 on the Floor of the Senate, 71st Leg., R.S. (March 13, 1989) (statement of Senator Henderson describing S.B. 404 as relating to privacy of a teacher’s transcript; statement of Senator Caperton summarizing S.B. 404 as balancing public’s right to know with teacher’s right of privacy) (recording available from Senate Staff Services Office). In


addition, Representative Paul J. Hilbert, the House sponsor of S.B. 404, stated during the debate on the House floor that the statute was intended to protect teachers' college transcripts. *See* Debate on Tex. S.B. 404 on the Floor of the House, 71st Leg., R.S. (May 10 and 11, 1989) (statements of Representative Hilbert introducing S.B. 404 as applying to transcripts of teachers) (recording available from House Video/Audio Services). Therefore, we believe the legislative history of section 552.102(b) shows the Legislature enacted the predecessor statute to section 552.102(b) to protect the transcripts of only professional educators, rather than the transcripts of all public school employees. *See* Open Records Decision No. 526 (1989) (addressing predecessor statute in light of previous lack of exception for "qualifications of professional public school employees *to teach*") (emphasis added). Thus, as you have not established the district's general counsel is an educator for purposes of section 552.102(b), this exception is not applicable to the submitted transcripts. Accordingly, the district may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.102(b) of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470, 467 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications, including college transcripts, of public employees).

To conclude, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The district must also withhold the information you have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/tch

Ref: ID# 504441

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)