



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 30, 2013

Ms. Elaine Nicholson
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767-8828

OR2013-18919

Dear Ms. Nicholson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 504537.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for proposal and evaluation information pertaining to two specified solicitation numbers. You state you are releasing some of the requested information. Although you take no position on whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of CLEAResult, EcoScience LLC ("Eco"), and Fox Energy Specialists. Accordingly, you have notified these third parties of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See id.* § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the circumstances). We have received comments from Eco. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you acknowledge the city did not comply with its ten-business-day deadline under section 552.301(b) of the Government Code in requesting this decision regarding the first request. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(a)-(b). Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the

information from disclosure. *Id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a governmental body may demonstrate a compelling reason to withhold information by showing the information is made confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests. Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because third party interests can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider whether the information at issue is excepted under the Act.

We note an interested party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, we have not received correspondence from CLEAResult or Fox Energy Specialists. Thus, these third parties have not demonstrated they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests CLEAResult or Fox Energy Specialists may have in the information.

Eco raises section 552.137 of the Government Code for portions of its information at issue. Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(c). However, section 552.137 does not except from release an e-mail address "contained in a response to a request for bids or proposals, contained in a response to similar invitations soliciting offers or information relating to a potential contract, or provided to a governmental body in the course of negotiating the terms of a contract or potential contract[.]" *See id.* § 552.137(c)(3). The e-mail addresses Eco seeks to withhold are subject to section 552.137(c)(3). Therefore, the city may not withhold the e-mail addresses at issue under section 552.137. *See id.* § 552.137(a).

We note some of the information at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. As no other exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released, but

any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Paige Lay
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PL/bhf

Ref: ID# 504537

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James Rodriguez
Executive Vice President
Fox Energy Services
3109 Lubbock Avenue
Fort Worth, Texas 76109
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Diane Irwin
Positive Energy
1203 South 3rd Street
Austin, Texas 78704
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Glenn Garland
President
CLEAResult
Building A, Suite 250
4301 Westbank Drive
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)