



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

April 17, 2014

Mr. Matthew L. Wade  
Counsel for City of Snyder  
Underwood Law Firm  
P.O. Box 16197  
Lubbock, Texas 79490

OR2014-06403

Dear Mr. Wade:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 520111.

The City of Snyder (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to proposed works by third parties for a specified period of time. You state the city released some information to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of a third party. Accordingly, you inform us you notified V.V. Water Company, LLC ("V.V. Water") of the request and of V.V. Water's right to submit comments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have received comments from V.V. Water. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We understand V.V. Water to claim some of its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information, the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code

§ 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person that are privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be the following:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>1</sup> RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990)*. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983)*.

---

<sup>1</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980)*.

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

We understand V.V. Water to assert subsections 552.110(a) and 552.110(b) for some of its information. Upon review, we find V.V. Water has failed to demonstrate any of its information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has V.V. Water demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for any of its information. *See* ORDs 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim), 319 at 2. Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Further, we find V.V. Water has not demonstrated the release of any of its information would cause V.V. Water substantial competitive harm. *See* ORD 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue). Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

V.V. Water also raises section 552.113 of the Government Code for some of its information. Section 552.113 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure under the Act] if it is:

...

(2) geological or geophysical information or data, including maps concerning wells, except information filed in connection with an application or proceeding before an agency[.]

Gov’t Code § 552.113(a)(2). In Open Records Decision No. 627 (1994), this office concluded section 552.113(a)(2) protects from public disclosure only (i) geological and geophysical information regarding the exploration or development of natural resources that is (ii) commercially valuable. ORD 627 at 3-4 (overruling rationale of Open Records Decision No. 504 (1988)). The decision explained the phrase “information regarding the exploration or development of natural resources” means “information indicating the presence

or absence of natural resources in a particular location, as well as information indicating the extent of a particular deposit or accumulation.” *Id.* at 4 n.4. However, section 552.113(a)(2) does not except general geological information about a particular location that is unrelated to the “presence or absence of natural resources.” In order to be commercially valuable for purposes of Open Records Decision No. 627 and section 552.113, information must not be publicly available. *See* Open Records Decision No. 669 (2000). Upon review, we conclude V.V. Water has failed to demonstrate any of its information is commercially valuable geological or geophysical information regarding the exploration of or development of natural resources. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.113 of the Government Code.

V.V. Water also argues its information is protected by section 552.131 of the Government Code, which relates to economic development information. Section 552.131 provides, in part, the following:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

(1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or

(2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

(b) Unless and until an agreement is made with the business prospect, information about a financial or other incentive being offered to the business prospect by the governmental body or by another person is excepted from [required public disclosure].

Gov’t Code § 552.131(a)-(b). Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only “trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect” and “commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.” *Id.* This aspect of section 552.131 is co-extensive with section 552.110 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b). Because we have already disposed of V.V. Water’s claims under section 552.110, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.131(a) of the Government Code. Furthermore, we note section 552.131(b) is designed to protect the interests of governmental bodies, not third parties. As the city does not assert section 552.131(b) as an exception to disclosure, we conclude the city may not

withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.131(b) of the Government Code. As no further exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Miriam A. Khalifa  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MAK/akg

Ref: ID# 520111

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Dr. John Littlejohn  
V.V. Water Company, LLC  
P.O. Box 82  
Beville, Texas 78104  
(w/o enclosures)