



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 14, 2014

Ms. L. Carolyn Nivens
Counsel for the City of League City
Ross, Banks, May, Cron & Cavin, P.C.
2 Riverway, Suite 700
Houston, Texas 77056

OR2014-12087

Dear Ms. Nivens:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 528925 (City Ref. No. 14-163; Ross Banks File No. 3607-1).

The City of League City (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for a report involving code violations at a specified address. You state the city will release some information to the requestor. You claim the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by the common-law informer's privilege, which has long been recognized by Texas courts. *See Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); *Hawthorne v. State*, 10 S.W.2d 724, 725 (Tex. Crim. App. 1928). The informer's privilege protects the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi-criminal law enforcement authority, provided the subject of the report does not already know their identities. Open Records Decision Nos. 515 at 2-3 (1988), 434 at 1-2 (1986), 208 at 1-2 (1978). For the informer's privilege to apply, the report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at (1990), 515 at 3-4. The privilege affords protection to individuals who report violations of statutes to criminal law


enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres." Open Records Decision No. 279 at 1-2 (1981) (citing 8 John H. Wigmore, *Evidence in Trials at Common Law*, § 2374, at 767 (J. McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)).

You seek to withhold portions of the submitted information under the common-law informer's privilege. However, upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate how any of the information at issue identifies a complainant for purposes of the informer's privilege. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of the common-law informer's privilege. As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Miriam A. Khalifa
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAK/tch

Ref: ID# 528925

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)