



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 14, 2014

Ms. Elizabeth Hanshaw Winn
Assistant County Attorney
County of Travis
P.O. Box 1748
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2014-14266

Dear Ms. Winn:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 532785.

The Travis County Healthcare District d/b/a Central Health (the "district") received a request for information pertaining to a specified request for proposals, including proposal summaries, responses to mandatory specifications, completed and signed price sheets, completed HUB forms, other supporting materials, and scoring calculations for each submission. You state you will release some information. Although you take no position as to whether some of the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you indicate release of some of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of AllenSoft LLC; American Eagle; Ardent Technologies, Inc.; Calance; Civic Resource Group; Vitasys - ezSite; Gillani Consulting Group, Inc.; JesseJames Creative, Inc.; Last Call Media; MMI Agency; Neon Rain Interactive; NetCloud, LLC; Prelude Systems, Inc.; Screamer Co.; Sensiple; Square Lines Design LLC; Trade Mark; and Vortex Software Corp. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified these third parties of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted

from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. See Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. See *Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, writ ref’d n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990).

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. See ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body’s policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; see also *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body’s policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body’s policy mission. See Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995).

Further, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.); see ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. See Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

You state some of the submitted information consists of internal communications relating to the evaluation by district personnel of proposals by prospective contractors. You explain these documents reflect the deliberative and policymaking process of district personnel in ranking the proposals and consist of their opinions and recommendations on a policymaking

¹We assume the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

matter. Based on your representations and our review, we find the information at issue consists of advice, opinions, and recommendations pertaining to the district's policymaking matters. Accordingly, the district may withhold the information we marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

Next, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why any portion of the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude these third parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, third party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in it.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See* Open Records Decision No. 684 at 9 (2009). Upon review, the district must withhold the insurance policy numbers we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the district may withhold the information we marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the insurance policy numbers we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The district must release the remaining information; however, any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kenny Moreland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJM/som

Ref: ID# 532785

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael Ma
CEO
AllenSoft, LLC
4103 Halifax
College Station, Texas 77845
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Amanda Carmody
American Eagle
2600 South River Road
Des Plaines, Illinois 60018
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ryan Grieco
Project Manager
Ardent Technologies, Inc.
6234 Far Hills Avenue
Daytown, Ohio 45459
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bill Darden
Chief Financial Officer
Calance
7101 Village Drive
Buena Park, California 90621
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gregory G. Curtin, Ph.D.
Founder & Managing Director
Civic Resource Group
915 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2175
Los Angeles, California 90017
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Syed Kamal
President & CEO
Gillani Consulting Group, Inc.
100 North Central Expressway,
Suite 416
Richardson, Texas 75080
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kelly Albrecht
CEO
Last Call Media
78 Main Street, Suite 401
Northhampton, Massachusetts 01060
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Clint Macklin
Director of Sales
Neon Rain Interactive
2330 Broadway, Suite 103
Denver, Colorado 80205
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kiran Babu Chandra
CEO
Prelude Systems, Inc.
5 Corporate Park, Suite #140
Irvine, California 92606
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Sham Ramakrishnan
Sensipl
1000 Route 9 North, Suite 303
Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Arthur Bryant, CEO
Vitasys - ezSite
3055 112th Avenue NE, Suite 120
Bellevue, Washington 98004
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James Tormey
VP/Creative Director
JesseJames Creative, Inc.
131 West 24th Street, Suite 3
New York, New York 10011
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Cindy Marion
President & CEO
MMI Agency
1712Pease Street
Houston, Texas 77003
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mehul Satasia
CEO
NetCloud, LLC
14900 Avery Ranch Boulevard
Suite C200 #115
Austin, Texas 78717
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott F. Creamer
President
107 Leland Street, Suite 3
Austin, Texas 78704
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Kurtz
Square Lines Design, LLC
4875 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 210
Beaverton, Oregon 97005
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark MacDonald
Secretary/Treasurer
Vortex Software Corp.
1515 Capital of Texas Highway South
Suite 400
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Nick Weynand
President & Strategy Director
Trade Mark
c/o Elizabeth Hanshaw Winn
Assistant County Attorney
County of Travis
P.O. Box 1748
Austin, Texas 78767
(w/o enclosures)