



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 24, 2014

Mr. Jeffrey W. Giles
Assistant City Attorney
City of Houston
P.O. Box 368
Houston, Texas 77001-0368

OR2014-17015

Dear Mr. Giles:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 537332 (Houston GC No. 21558).

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for the bid records for the city pin for the years 2012 and 2014. Although we understand you to take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Augusta Marketing Products, Northstar Marketing Group, The TharpeRobbins Company, and TMJ Enterprises of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision

Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the third parties may have in it. As you raise no further arguments against disclosure, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Abigail T. Adams
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ATA/ac

Ref: ID# 537332

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ted Dennison
Una Mae Dennison
d/b/a TMJ Enterprises
8919 Wateka Drive
Houston, Texas 77074
(w/o enclosures)

The TharpeRobbins Company
149 Crawford Road
Stateville, North Carolina 28625
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Lorenzo Davis
Northstar Marketing Group
19638 Remington Crest Court
Houston, Texas 77094
(w/o enclosures)

Augusta Marketing Products
The Augusta Group
2000 South Dairy Ashford, Suite 465
Houston, Texas 77077
(w/o enclosures)