



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 29, 2014

Ms. Laura Garza Jimenez  
County Attorney  
County of Nueces  
901 Leopard, Room 207  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401-3680

OR2014-17255

Dear Ms. Jimenez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 537752.

The Nueces County Sheriff's Office and the Nueces County Purchasing Office (collectively, the "county") received two identical requests for three categories of information pertaining to "the provision of food service(s) to inmates, visitors, and/or officers and commissary service(s) at any adult and/or juvenile jail and/or detention facilities in Nueces County." You state the county will release some information to the requestor. Although you take no position with respect to the public availability of the submitted information, you state its release may implicate the proprietary interests of ABL Management, Inc., Aramark Correctional Services, and Keefe Group. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified these third parties of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why

information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any third party explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude the third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the county may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Upon review, we find the county must withhold the insurance policy numbers contained in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the county must withhold the insurance policy numbers contained in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The county must release the remaining information; however, the county may only release the information subject to copyright in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lee Seidlits  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CLS/som

Ref: ID# 537752

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Blake Taylor  
Keefe Group  
3101 Marquis Drive, Suite 200  
Garland, Texas 75042  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karen Russell  
Aramark Correctional Services  
2300 Warrenville Road  
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John D. Appleton  
ABL Management, Inc.  
11224 Boardwalk, Suite B-15  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816  
(w/o enclosures)