



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 1, 2014

Ms. Sarah Parker
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2014-17464

Dear Ms. Parker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 538072.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for all proposals submitted to the department in response to a specified request for proposal. Although you take no position with respect to the public availability of the requested information, you state the proprietary interests of certain third parties might be implicated. Accordingly, you notified AECOM Technical Services, Inc.; Atkins North America, Inc.; Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc.; HNTB Corporation; Iteris, Inc.; Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc.; Maldonado-Burkett Intelligent Transportation Systems, L.L.P.; Stantec Consulting Services ("Stantec"); URS Corporation; and Walter P. Moore & Associates, Inc. of the request and of their rights to submit arguments to this office explaining why their information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have received

comments from Stantec. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have only received a brief from Stantec. Thus, the remaining third parties have not demonstrated that they have protected proprietary interests in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)–(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5–6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the department may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests any of the remaining third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)–(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business ... in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.... [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the

Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.¹ This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5.

Stantec argues its information constitutes trade secrets under section 552.110(a). Upon review, we find Stantec has failed to establish a *prima facie* case its information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has Stantec demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for its information. *See* ORD 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim). Accordingly, none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Stantec also argues its information constitutes commercial or financial information that, if released, would cause it competitive harm. Upon review, we find Stantec has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any of its information would cause it substantial competitive injury. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications,

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.


RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110), 175 at 4 (1977) (resumes cannot be said to fall within any exception to the Act). Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. As no further exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Joseph Behrke
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JB/som

Ref: ID# 538072

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Aecom Technical Services
5444 Westheimer Road, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77056
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Christy Leonard
Corporate Counsel
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
2000 South Colorado Boulevard
Suite 2-300
Denver, Colorado 80222-7933
(w/o enclosures)

Atkins North America
1250 Wood Branch Drive, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77079
(w/o enclosures)

HNTB Corporation
701 Brazos Street, Suite 450
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Kimley-Horn & Associates
12750 Merit Drive, Suite 1000
Dallas, Texas 75251
(w/o enclosures)

URS Corporation
9400 Amberglen Boulevard
Austin, Texas 78729
(w/o enclosures)

Brown & Gay Engineering, Inc.
7000 North Mopac, Suite 330
Austin, Texas 78731
(w/o enclosures)

Isteris, Inc.
912 S Capital of Texas Hwy, Suite 190
West Lake Hills, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)

MBITS
2205 Western Trails Boulevard, Suite B
Austin, Texas 78745
(w/o enclosures)

Walter P. Moore & Associates
1301 McKinney, Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77010
(w/o enclosures)