



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 15, 2014

Ms. Lisa D. Mares  
Counsel for the City of McKinney  
Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P.  
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800  
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2014-18460

Dear Ms. Mares:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 539332 (ORR# 10-11237).

The City of McKinney (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for calls for service and/or any records pertaining to a specified address during a specified time period. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Upon review, we find some of the submitted information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas

Decision No. 455 (1987). Upon review, we find some of the submitted information satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit, a motor vehicle title or registration, or a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country.<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.130(a). Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

We note the requestor identifies himself as an employee of the Child Protective Services Division of the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. The interagency transfer doctrine provides that information may be transferred between governmental bodies without violating its confidential character on the basis of a recognized need to maintain an unrestricted flow of information between governmental bodies. See Attorney General Opinion No. GA-0055 (2003); Open Records Decision Nos. 680 at 7 (2003), 667 at 3-4 (2000). However, an interagency transfer of confidential information is prohibited where a confidentiality statute enumerates specific entities to which release of confidential information is authorized, and the requesting agency is not among the statute's enumerated entities. See Attorney General Opinion DM-353 at 4 n.6 (1995); Open Records Decision No. 661 at 3 (1999).

Common-law privacy is not a confidentiality statute that enumerates specific entities to which release of the confidential information is authorized. Furthermore, we note that release pursuant to the interagency transfer doctrine does not constitute a release of information to the public for the purposes of section 552.007 of the Act. See, e.g., Attorney General Opinions H-917 at 1 (1976), H-242 at 4 (1974); see also Gov't Code §§ 552.007, .352. Thus, the city does not waive its interests in withholding this information by exercising its discretion under the interagency transfer doctrine. However, section 552.130 of the Government Code has its own access provision governing release of information. See Gov't Code § 552.130(b). Consequently, information subject to section 552.130 must be withheld even if the city chooses to release the submitted information pursuant to the interagency transfer doctrine.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy and the information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information.

---


<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

However, with the exception of the information subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code, the city may exercise its discretion to release the submitted information to this requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Tamara H. Holland". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Tamara H. Holland  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

THH/bhf

Ref: ID# 539332

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)