



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 30, 2014

Mr. Michael L. Garza  
Assistant District Attorney  
Criminal District Attorney's Office  
Hidalgo County  
100 North Closner Boulevard, Room 303  
Edinburg, Texas 78539

OR2014-19690

Dear Mr. Garza:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 541972 (Hidalgo ID# 2014-0109-DA).

The Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") received a request for the personnel file of a named former employee and communications sent or received for a specified period of time by a named employee about the named former employee's separation from the sheriff's office. You state the sheriff's office will release some information to the requestor. You claim portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.117, and 552.1175 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information contains a peace officer's Texas Commission on Law Enforcement ("TCOLE") identification number. In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you do not explicitly raise section 552.117 of the Government Code, we understand you to raise this exception based on the substance of your argument.

not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. We understand the officer's TCOLE identification number is a unique computer-generated number assigned to peace officers for identification in the commissioner's electronic database and may be used as an access device number on the TCOLE website. Accordingly, we find the TCOLE number in the submitted information does not constitute public information under section 552.002 of the Government Code. Therefore, the TCOLE number in the submitted information is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information that is subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004; Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). This office has determined the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Upon review, we find the information we marked constitutes medical records. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with the MPA.<sup>2</sup> However, we find you have not demonstrated how any of the remaining information constitutes medical records for purposes

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

of the MPA, and the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the remaining information on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code, which governs the public availability of information submitted to TCOLE under subchapter J of chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.454 provides as follows:

- (a) All information submitted to the [TCOLE] under this subchapter is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under Chapter 552, Government Code, unless the person resigned or was terminated due to substantiated incidents of excessive force or violations of the law other than traffic offenses.
- (b) Except as provided by this subchapter, a [TCOLE] member or other person may not release information submitted under this subchapter.

Occ. Code § 1701.454. The remaining information contains a F-5 Report of Separation of Licensee, which we understand was submitted to TCOLE. We understand the officer at issue did not resign or was not terminated due to substantiated incidents of excessive force or violations of the law other than traffic offenses. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the submitted F-5 form, which we have marked, under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Additionally, this office has found personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 523 (1989) (common-law privacy protects credit reports, financial statements, and other personal financial information), 600 (1992) (personal financial information includes choice of a particular insurance carrier). This office has also determined a public employee's net pay is protected by common-law privacy even though it involves a financial transaction between the employee and the governmental body. *See* Attorney General Opinion GA-0572 at 3-5 (2007) (stating net salary necessarily involves disclosure of information about personal financial decisions and is background financial information about a given individual that is not of legitimate concern to the public).

However, information concerning financial transactions between an employee and a public employer is generally of legitimate public interest. ORD 545. Upon review, we find the information we marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.<sup>3</sup> We note some of the remaining information pertains to worker's compensation claims, and thus, there is a legitimate public interest in this information. See Open Records Decision Nos. 545 at 4 (1990) (attorney general has found kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common-law privacy to generally be those regarding receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). Thus, we find the remaining information is not highly intimate or embarrassing information or is of legitimate public interest. Therefore, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Next, we address your argument under section 552.102 of the Government Code. Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). We understand you to assert the privacy analysis under section 552.102(a) is the same as the common-law privacy test under section 552.101 of the Government Code. As previously mentioned, common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 685. In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, Inc.*, 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court of appeals ruled the privacy test under section 552.102(a) is the same as the *Industrial Foundation* privacy test. However, the Texas Supreme Court has expressly disagreed with *Hubert's* interpretation of section 552.102(a) and held the privacy standard under section 552.102(a) differs from the *Industrial Foundation* test under section 552.101. See *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). The supreme court also considered the applicability of section 552.102(a) and held it excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. See *id.* at 348. Having carefully reviewed the information at issue, we have marked information that must be withheld under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. However, we find none of the remaining information is subject to section 552.102(a) of the Government Code, and the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the remaining information on that basis.

Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

current or former employees or officials of a governmental body who request this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). Section 552.117 is also applicable to personal cellular telephone numbers, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt of the request for information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. The submitted information includes the election form completed by the individual whose information is at issue and reflects the individual timely elected to keep his information confidential. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the sheriff's office may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number and pager number if the services are not paid for by a governmental body.<sup>4</sup>

Section 552.1175 protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals, when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the information confidential. *See* Gov't Code § 552.1175(b). Section 552.1175 applies, in part, to "peace officers as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure[.]" *Id.* § 552.1175(a)(1). Some of the remaining information may relate to individuals who were licensed peace officers of another law enforcement agency at the time the information at issue was created. However, we are unable to determine from the information provided if the individuals at issue are currently licensed peace officers. Thus, we must rule conditionally. Accordingly, to the extent the information at issue, which we have marked, relates to individuals who are currently licensed as peace officers and who elect to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the sheriff's office must withhold the marked information under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. Conversely, if the individuals whose information is at issue are not currently licensed as peace officers or do not elect to restrict access to their information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the marked information may not be withheld under section 552.1175. However, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information is subject to section 552.1175, and the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the remaining information on that basis.

---

<sup>4</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release.<sup>5</sup> See Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." *Id.* § 552.136(b); see *id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). Upon review, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the submitted TCOLE identification number is not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor. The sheriff's office must withhold (1) the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA; (2) the submitted F-5 form we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code; (3) the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; (4) the information we marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code; and (5) the information we marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the sheriff's office may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number and pager number if the services are not paid for by a governmental body. To the extent the information we marked under section 552.1175 of the Government Code relates to individuals who are currently licensed as peace officers and who elect to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the sheriff's office must withhold the marked information under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. The sheriff's office must withhold the information we marked under sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code. The sheriff's office must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

---

<sup>5</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paige Thompson", written in a cursive style.

Paige Thompson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PT/dls

Ref: ID# 541972

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)