



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 18, 2014

Ms. Anne M. Constantine
Legal Counsel
Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board
P.O. Box 619428
DFW Airport, Texas 75261-9428

OR2014-23059

Dear Ms. Constantine:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 549024.

The Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board (the "board") received a request for all the bid responses for solicitation number 7005933. Although the board takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, it states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of ERMIC IV, L.P.; JBT AeroTech Airport Services ("JBT"); TD Industries, Inc.; and Triad Commercial Services, Ltd. Accordingly, the board states, and provides documentation showing, it notified the third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from JBT. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have only received comments from JBT explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999)

POST OFFICE BOX 12548, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 TEL: (512) 463-2100 WWW.TEXASATTORNEYGENERAL.GOV

An Equal Employment Opportunity Employer · Printed on Recycled Paper

(to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the board may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the remaining third parties may have in the information.

JBT states some of its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

JBT argues some of its information constitutes trade secrets. Upon review, we find JBT has failed to establish a *prima facie* case the information it has indicated meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has it demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for this information. See ORD 402. Therefore, none of the information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. As no other exceptions to disclosure are raised for the submitted information, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/bhf

Ref: ID# 549024

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. William B. Capps
ERMC IV, LP
2409 East Loop 820 North
Fort Worth, Texas 76118
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Regian
TD Industries, Inc.
P.O. Box 819060
Dallas, Texas 75381
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brent Ahlstrom
General Manager
JBT Aero Tech Airport Services
1805 West 2550 South
Ogden, Utah 84401
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Duane Rettig
Triad Commercial Services, Ltd.
#1502
8600 Coppertowne Lane
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)