



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 11, 2016

Ms. Meredith Riede  
City Attorney  
City of Sugar Land  
P. O. Box 110  
Sugar Land, Texas 77487-0110

OR2016-03423

Dear Ms. Riede:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 598405.

The City of Sugar Land (the "city") received a request for the income statement for the Sugar Land Marriott and Conference Center for a specified time period.<sup>1</sup> The city claims the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Additionally, the city states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of HH Texas Hotel Associates, LP ("HHTHA"). Accordingly, the city states, and provides documentation showing, it notified HHTHA of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception the city claims and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the city sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or over-broad request for public information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from HHTHA explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude HHTHA has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest HHTHA may have in the information.

The city asserts the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. However, section 552.110 protects only the interests of the third parties that have provided information to a governmental body, not those of the governmental body itself. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110 (excepts from disclosure trade secrets or commercial or financial information obtained from person). Therefore, we do not address the city's argument under section 552.110. As no other exceptions are raised, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DLW/sb

Ref: ID# 598405

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

General Counsel  
HH Texas Hotel Associates, L. P.  
C/o Highland Hospitality Corporation  
8405 Greensboro Drive, Suite 500  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
(w/o enclosures)

Steve Scott  
Sugar Land Marriott Town Square  
16090 City Walk  
Sugar Land, Texas 77479  
(w/o enclosures)