



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 26, 2016

Ms. Imelda B. Rodriguez
City Secretary
City of Eagle Pass
100 South Monroe
Eagle Pass, Texas 78852

OR2016-19377

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 624175 (Eagle Pass request# 2016-92).

The City of Eagle Pass (the "city") received a request for the plan review submittal, any engineer submitted documents or plans, and the certificate of occupancy pertaining to a specified development project. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Dirksen Engineering ("Dirksen"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Dirksen of the request for information and of the company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.


We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Dirksen explaining why the information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Dirksen has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See*

id. § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest Dirksen may have in it. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sidney M. Pounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SMP/akg

Ref: ID# 624175

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Third Party
(w/o enclosures)