



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 26, 2016

Mr. Oscar G. Gabaldon, Jr.  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of El Paso  
P.O. Box 1890  
El Paso, Texas 79950-1890

OR2016-19380

Dear Mr. Gabaldon:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 624164 (City's Case Nos. 16-1026-7565, 16-1026-7603, 16-1026-7614, & 16-1026-7621).

The City of El Paso (the "city") received five requests from two different requestors for information pertaining to a specified incident involving a named individual. You state you released some of the submitted information to the requestors. You claim the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.108 and 552.1085 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments from one of the requestors. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you also raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy and constitutional privacy for the submitted information, you provide no arguments explaining how these doctrines apply to the information at issue. Therefore, we assume you no longer assert these doctrines. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state the submitted information pertains to an ongoing criminal investigation by the city’s police department. However, one of the requestors asserts the responsive information relates to an investigation that is now closed. Whether the incident at issue relates to an ongoing criminal investigation is a question of fact. Open Records Decision Nos. 592 at 2 (1991), 552 at 4 (1990), 435 at 4 (1986). This office is unable to resolve disputes of fact in the open records ruling process. Accordingly, we must rely upon the facts alleged to us by the governmental body requesting our opinion, or upon those facts that are discernable from the documents submitted for our inspection. *See* ORD 522 at 4. Thus, based on the representations made by the city, we find release of the submitted information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the submitted information.

However, we note section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of the basic information, which must be released, the city may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.


This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Meagan J. Conway', written over a faint, illegible typed name.

Meagan J. Conway  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MJC/akg

Ref: ID# 624164

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors  
(w/o enclosures)